UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/507,393	07/11/2005	Joseph Henry Ruston	15892.5	1795
	7590 06/11/2007		EXAM	INER
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY)			NEILS, PEGGY A	
60 EAST SOU' 1000 EAGLE O	TH TEMPLE GATE TOWER	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE C	CITY, UT 84111		2885	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/507,393	RUSTON, JOSEPH HENRY		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Peggy A. Neils	2885		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>16-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>16-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers	•			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate		

Application/Control Number: 10/507,393

Art Unit: 2885

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Devlin et al.

Devlin et al discloses a remote controlled lighting system, which can be controlled optically, or by radio frequency (RF). Once an indicator on the lamp selected is lit it is not necessary to point the remote at the lamp to control it. The control system may include control of beam color (claim19), timing sequences (claim 18) and control of sub-groups (claim 17) (see column 8, at the end of the specification).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/507,393 Page 3

Art Unit: 2885

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mier-Langner et al.

Mier-Langner et al shows a remote controlled lighting system with a number of lamp modules 20 that are individually selectable, programmable and controlled by a remote control unit 15. As shown in Figure 2, the lamp responds either to a laser with a visible light receiver 25 or an infrared receiver 24 with an LED 23 indicator which illuminates to show that the lighting system has been activated. While Mier-Langner et al primarily discusses controlling the brightness or dimming of the lighting system it is stated that control of the lighting may include a motor operated lamp to vary pointing and angular positioning of the lamp (see column 10, at the top of the page).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dowling et al, Grange, Hunt et al and Sid are cited of interest. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Examiner Neils at (571) 272-2377 on a Monday or Thursday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong (James) Lee can be reached on (571) 272-7044.

JONG-SUK (JAMES) LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER